

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR Justin Silverman, Esq.

## **EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

Karen Bordeleau

NFFAC President Providence Journal (Formerly) Arizona State University

Lynne DeLucia

Fundraising Chairwoman

Connecticut Health I-Team

**David Rosen** 

Communications Chairman **David Rosen Communications** 

Maggie Mulvihill

Clerk

Boston University

Gregory V. Sullivan, Esq. Second Vice President

Malloy & Sullivan

## DIRECTORS

Jonathan M. Albano, Esq. Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

Dan Barrett

ACLU of Connecticut

Julia Bergman

Hearst Connecticut Media Group

Robert A. Bertsche, Esq.

Klaris Law

Peter Caruso, Sr., Esq.

Caruso & Caruso, LLP

Jeff Cohen

Connecticut Public Radio

Linda Conway

NFNPA

Lia Ernst

ACLU of Vermont **Edward Fitzpatrick** 

The Boston Globe

Richard Gagliuso, Esq.

Bernstein Shur

George Geers

Academy of N. E. Journalists

**Christopher Hamblett** 

Foundation for West Africa Shirley Leung

The Boston Globe Judy Meyer

Sun Media Group

Amanda Milkovits

The Boston Globe Penelope Overton

Portland Press Herald

Elizabeth Ritvo, Esq.

Brown Rudnick, LLP

Walter V. Robinson

The Boston Globe Barbara T. Roessner

New Bedford Light

Sigmund D. Schutz, Esq. Preti Flaherty

Mike Stanton

University of Connecticut

**Emily Sweeney** 

The Boston Globe

Carlos Virgen

The Day

Tim White

WPRI-TV Providence

Janet Wu

WCVB-TV Boston

Affiliations appear for identification purposes only.



## **New England** First Amendment Coalition

**Phone** 508.983.6006 **Web** nefac.org Mailing Address 111 Milk Street, Westborough MA 01581 💟 👔 in 🔠 🤘 🚺











Vermont Judiciary c/o Scott Griffith Chief of Planning and Court Services Court Administrator's Office

SENT VIA EMAIL TO SCOTT.GRIFFITH@VERMONT.GOV CC: THOMAS.ZONAY@VERMONT.GOV

June 3, 2022

Dear Scott,

We're writing on behalf of the New England First Amendment Coalition, the Vermont Press Association and the Vermont Association of Broadcasters.

We're concerned about the way some county courts in the state have been using Vermont Supreme Court Administrative Order 49 to limit press access to judicial proceedings. Order 49 allows courts in the state to make temporary changes to their rules and operations in response to COVID-19 safety concerns. The order, first issued in 2020, was recently extended to August 31.

While we question the necessity of the order given that other branches of the government are no longer following similar safety protocols, our primary concern is how a small number of county court judges are using the order as justification to restrict the access of broadcast journalists to their court rooms. Here are two recent examples:

- In April, a reporter was denied access to a sentencing hearing in Addison County because the judge there set a limit of 14 people in the court room.
- A reporter covering an attempted murder arraignment in Orleans County last month was told that only four people would be allowed in the court room: the judge, two court staff and one pool photographer.

In both cases, no notice was given to the public that such restrictions would be imposed. The judges provided no reasoning for the restrictions other than citing Order 49 and the reporters shut out of the in-person proceedings were given no opportunity to object.

While remote access is provided to proceedings, the video and audio quality is not sufficient for broadcast journalists and severely limits their ability to effectively report the news. Put simply, cameras and microphones are the tools of their trade and they should be allowed to use them except only in the most rare of cir-

We respectfully request the Supreme Court clarify or amend its Order 49 to require the following:

If public and press access to a court proceeding will be limited under Order 49, the court imposing the restrictions shall give notice, including a written explanation for why such restrictions are necessary, no later than 48 hours prior to the proceeding. The court shall also provide an opportunity for any member of the public to object to the restrictions and shall limit access only upon a finding of good cause.

This will allow newsrooms the opportunity to object to the restrictions and, if those restrictions are upheld, prepare their news coverage in a way that compensates for the lack of access. We believe this is a reasonable measure that respects the authority of the court under Order 49 but also helps protect the First Amendment right of journalists to access court proceedings.

We welcome the opportunity to discuss this request in further detail. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

**Justin Silverman NEFAC Executive Director**  Michael Donoghue VPA Executive Director Wendy Mays VAB Executive Director